





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,899	09/04/2001	Ullrich Wunsche	P01,0281	6028
29177 7	7590 06/07/2004		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			PHAN, HANH	
P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO, II	=		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Cincado, ii	2 00090-1133		2633	d
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		9/914,899	WUNSCHE ET AL.				
		xaminer	Art Unit				
		anh Phan	2633				
	this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply	A DEDIOD FOR REDI VIII	S SET TO EVEIDE 2 MONTH	(S) EDOM				
If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extends	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply witl, the maximum statutory period will a dd period for reply will, by statute, cau an three months after the mailing dat		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			:				
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 04 Sept	ember 2001.	<u>:</u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		tion is non-final.	į				
·—							
closed in accordance w	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.						
*	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are sub	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers			•				
9)☐ The specification is obje	cted to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		is required if the drawing(s) is ob					
11) The oath or declaration	s objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is mad	le of a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□		5.1.7 dildoi 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(d	/ \-/ \· \(\/ \)				
·— ·— ·	f the priority documents h	ave been received.	:				
	· ·	ave been received in Applicat	ion No				
-		documents have been receive	-				
·	he International Bureau (F		•				
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list of t	the certified copies not receive	ed.				
			: :				
Attachment(s)			(DTO 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson 		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		6)	:				

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masaki (US Patent No. 5,629,792) cited by applicant.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, referring to Figures 4 and 12, Masaki discloses a method for optimizing an amplitude-modulated optical signal, comprising the steps of:

generating the amplitude-modulated optical signal in a modulator (i.e., external modulating device 1, Fig. 4) by modulating an optical signal with a digital signal;

feeding said amplitude-modulated optical signal to a frequency discriminator (i.e., light electricity converter 7, filter 8, low-pass filter 10, comparator 11, error amplifier 13, Fig. 4) which outputs a spectral distribution signal;

feeding the spectral distribution signal to a control device (i.e., initial setting circuit 14, Fig. 4) which is also fed an adjustable reference signal; and generating a control signal which sets an operating point of the modulator by

Art Unit: 2633

comparing the adjustable reference signal and the spectral distribution signal (see from 4, lines 36 through col. 9, line 12 and col. 12, lines 29-50).

Regarding claim 2, Masaki teaches further comprising the step of separating (i.e., light branching device 6, Fig. 4) a measuring signal which is fed to the frequency discriminator from the amplitude-modulated optical signal.

Regarding claim 7, Masaki further teaches an adder (5)(Fig. 4) which is fed the control signal and the digital signal, an adder output being fed to a modulation input of the modulator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masaki (US Patent No. 5,629,792) cited by applicant.

Regarding claim 3, it would have been obvious to obtain the steps of determining the spectral distribution signal at a start of a transmission path and setting the reference signal based on properties of the transmission path in order to produce the optimal receiving conditions.

Regarding claim 4, it would have been obvious to obtain the steps of determining the spectral distribution signal at a receiving end and transmitting the spectral

Art Unit: 2633

distribution signal or a control signal generated therefrom to the modulator provided at a transmitting end in order to provide performing stable optical transmission.

6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tajima (US Patent No. 5,726,794) discloses DC bias controller for optical modulator.

Noda (US Patent No. 5,742,268) discloses optical modulation device having bias reset means.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (703)306-5840.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703)305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Art Unit: 2633

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Naulyhaw Hanh Phan

05/28/2004